

DETERIORATION OF METAPHOR COMPREHENSION IN PARKINSON'S DISEASE OVER TWO YEARS

Christina Tremblay, PhD^{1,2}, Mélanie Langlois, MD³ and Laura Monetta, PhD^{*1,2}

¹Faculté de médecine, Département de réadaptation, Université Laval, 1050, ave de la Médecine, Québec (Qc) G1V 0A6, Canada.

²Institut Universitaire en Santé Mentale de Québec, 2601, rue de la Canardière, Québec (Qc) G1J 2G3, Canada.

³Clinique des troubles du mouvement, Hôpital de l'Enfant-Jésus, 1401, 18e rue, Québec (Qc) G1J 1Z4, Canada.

***Corresponding Author:** Laura Monetta, Institut Universitaire en Santé Mentale de Québec, 2601, rue de la Canardière, Québec (Qc) G1J 2G3, Canada, Tel: +1 418-656-2131 poste 6393; Fax: +1 418-656-5476; E-mail: Laura.Monetta@rea.ulaval.ca

Received: 20 February 2018;

Accepted: 29 March 2018;

Published Online: 06 April 2018

ABSTRACT

Introduction: High-level language abilities tend to decline throughout the progression of Parkinson's disease, but little is known about their deterioration rate. Since these abilities depend on executive functions, their decline may be related to the evolution of executive deficits. The main objective of this preliminary study was to determine if metaphor comprehension, a particularly sensitive high-level language ability, deteriorates over two years and whether this deterioration is related with an executive function decline.

Methods: Twelve patients with Parkinson's disease and 13 controls completed a metaphor comprehension task, and different executive tasks at baseline (Parkinson's disease and control groups) and two-year follow-up (group with Parkinson's disease).

Results: Metaphor comprehension was impaired in Parkinson's disease at baseline ($p = .04$; $d = -1.22$) and significantly deteriorates after two years ($p < .0005$; $d = -1.03$). However, this deterioration was not related with a decline in the executive functions tested.

Conclusion: Metaphor comprehension could be a marker that will help to monitor more precisely the cognitive deficits progression in Parkinson's disease.

Keywords: Parkinson's disease, metaphor, language, cognition, disease progression

INTRODUCTION

Many people with Parkinson's disease (PD) will experience cognitive impairments even in the early stage of the disease [1]. These deficits have been shown to increase throughout the progression of PD, sometime leading to dementia [2], and may impact quality of life [3]. However, the progression of these impairments, specifically the decline in executive functions, is controversial. A meta-analysis including 25 studies with follow-up ranging from 2.4 months to 8 years reported a decline in global cognitive ability in PD, but no deterioration in executive functions during this period [4]. Conversely, more recent studies reported a deterioration in executive functions over three and five years [2,5].

The decline in cognitive functions is often manifested by a deterioration in specific language abilities requiring many executive functions, such as metaphor comprehension. Since an association has been shown between metaphor comprehension deficit and executive dysfunctions [6], it is possible that the deterioration in executive functions may be, at least partly, responsible for the decline observed in metaphor comprehension. However, this hypothesis has never been investigated and the progression rate of the metaphor comprehension deficit is unknown.

There are different types of metaphor and the deterioration in executive functions may not have the same impact on all types. Metaphors are often categorised in novel metaphors (e.g., *The teacher is a sleeping pill*) or idioms (e.g., *My father gave me a hand*). Since idioms are stored in the mental lexicon, we can understand them directly by accessing the lexicon and they can be understood as fast as literal sentences. However, novel metaphors cannot be access in the mental lexicon and necessitate an online processing, requiring more executive functions. If the deterioration observed in metaphor comprehension is linked to executive function, then new metaphors are more likely to be affected than idioms and idioms comprehension may be preserved for a longer time during the progress of PD.

Objectives

The first goal of this preliminary study was to confirm that non-demented PD patients show metaphor comprehension and executive functions deficits. The second and main goal was to determine whether those pragmatic and executive deficits deteriorate over two years and if their deterioration rate was related.

METHOD

Participants

Thirteen French native speakers diagnosed with idiopathic PD (8 men) and 13 healthy controls (HC) (8 men) participated in this study under written consent approved by the ethic committee of the *Centre Hospitalier universitaire de Québec* (CHUQ) and the *Institut Universitaire en Santé Mentale de Québec* (IUSMQ). The diagnosis of idiopathic PD was made by a neurologist on the basis of accepted motor criteria. At baseline, twelve PD participants were on dopaminergic medication (mean \pm SD: 500 \pm 264 mg/day). The twelve PD participants taking Levodopa medication were always evaluated when they were optimally medicated. Participants

all had normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Participants with a history of alcohol abuse or, disorders or neurological disease other than PD were systematically excluded. All individuals were screened for general cognitive functioning using the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA). A regression-based approach [7] where used to take into account age, education and gender, and to maximize MoCA specificity to detect dementia, whereas compromising sensitivity [8]. A Z-score was calculated for each participant with the equation proposed by Larouche et al. (2016). Since two standard deviation (SD) below appropriate norms is considered a major neurocognitive disorder (corresponding to dementia level) in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) [9], this criteria was used to screen for dementia. At baseline, only one participant (one patient with PD) met the criteria for dementia (Z-score = -2.4) and their results was discarded from the analysis.

MATERIALS

Metaphor Comprehension Task

Metaphor comprehension was assessed with the *Metaphor Interpretation Task* from the Montreal Evaluation of Communication (MEC) protocol [10]. It included twenty metaphors: ten novel metaphors (such as “*The teacher is a sleeping pill*”) and ten idioms (such as “*The man is throwing money out the window*”). Since complex sentence comprehension may be impaired in PD [11], all the metaphors in the task were short and direct. The evaluator read the metaphor to the participant and they were asked to explain what it meant (Score 2: clear and correct response; 1: good elements, but information added, omitted or imprecise; 0: incorrect or no response). When the answer to the open-ended question was correct, the evaluator directly read the next metaphor. If the answer was incorrect, three possible interpretations were presented (one with the literal meaning: “*The man is throwing money outside*”, one with the correct figurative meaning: “*The man is wasting his money*” and one with the erroneous interpretation: “*The man is saving his money*”). The participant had to tell the evaluator which of the three choices best explained what the metaphor meant. The correct response counted for one point while both incorrect answers (literal and erroneous) were scored 0. There was a maximum of two points per metaphor (total: /40).

Executive Tasks

Executive functions such as working memory, mental flexibility, planning and inhibition were evaluated with standardized tests. A digit span forward and digit span backward tasks [12] (evaluating working memory) and a trail-making test (number of errors in part B and time (part B-part A)) [13] (evaluating mental flexibility) in addition to verbal fluency tasks [14] (evaluating planning and inhibition) were used. In the digit span tests, participant had to repeat verbally the numbers said by the evaluator (beginning with three numbers and adding one number each time the response is correct) in the same (digit span forward) or reverse (digit span backward) order [12]. In the trail-making test, participant had to connect, by making pencil lines, 25 numbers randomly arranged on a page in proper order (Part A) and 25 numbers and letters in alternating order (Part B) [13]. Verbal fluency was evaluated with a free fluency task from the MEC protocol [10] (evaluating planning) and a phonemic fluency task (evaluating planning and inhibition) from the MoCA [15]. In the free fluency task, participants had to list as many French words as

possible in 150 seconds without naming proper nouns or numbers. In the phonemic verbal fluency task, participants had to list as many French words beginning with the letter F as possible in 60 seconds without naming proper nouns.

Procedure

PD and HC participants were evaluated at baseline to verify the presence of metaphor comprehension and executive deficits. PD participants were also evaluated two years later, to determine if there is a decline in metaphor comprehension and executive functions over a short period of time.

Statistical Analyses

A general linear model was used to compare the demographic features (age, education and depressive symptoms severity (BDI-IA)) between the PD and HC groups at baseline. Then, repeated measures ANOVAs were done to compare the UPDRS-III and BDI-IA scores, and the dopaminergic medication dosage of the PD group between the baseline and the two-year follow-up. Linear mixed effects models, controlling for the crossed random effects of participants, were done to compare the general cognitive abilities score (MoCA) in addition to the scores obtained in the digit span, trail-making (number of errors and time), verbal fluency (number of words) and metaphor comprehension tasks. Group (HC and PD patients at baseline and two years follow-up) was used as fixed factor and participants as random effect. The significance was set at $p < .05$.

RESULTS

PD Participants vs. Controls' Characteristics

The PD group and controls had comparable mean age, $F(1, 23) = .09$, $p = .76$, and education, $F(1, 23) = 2.55$, $p = .12$. Since depressive symptoms may have an impact on cognitive abilities, we ensured that the HC group was similar to the PD group relative to depressive symptom severity, $F(1, 20) = 2.09$, $p = .16$. Table 1 reports the characteristics of the PD and HC groups at baseline.

PD Participants' Characteristics at Baseline vs. Two-year Follow-up

No significant difference in the dopaminergic medication dosage, $F(1, 11) = 3.33$, $p = .10$, and depressive symptoms severity, $F(1, 7) = 4.57$, $p = .07$ was found between baseline and two years follow up for PD patients. The deterioration in motor symptoms (as seen by the UPDRS-III scores) was none significant (but there was a tendency) at the two-year follow-up, $F(1, 11) = 4.30$, $p = .06$. Table 1 also showed the comparison between the characteristics of the PD group at the baseline and two-year follow-up.

Metaphor Comprehension and Executive Abilities

Metaphor Comprehension

Comparisons were made between the metaphor interpretation scores of the HC and PD groups at baseline and two-year follow-up. There were significant group effects for the novel metaphor, $F(2, 18.47) = 19.35$, $p < .0005$, idioms, $F(2, 19.29) = 13.18$, $p < .0005$, and the total (novels + idioms) metaphor interpretation scores, $F(2, 18.40) = 25.36$,

$p < .0005$. *Objective 1:* Pairwise comparisons with Bonferonni adjustment showed that the PD group at baseline had a lower score associated with the total metaphor interpretation scores ($p = .04$; $d = -1.22$) than the HC group. However, the difference between groups was not significant for novel metaphors ($p = .06$; $d = -0.98$) and idioms ($p = .16$; $d = -1.05$) even if the effect size was large. *Objective 2:* The metaphor interpretation scores of the PD group were compared between the baseline and the two-year follow-up. A deterioration associated with novel metaphors ($p = .001$; $d = -0.97$), idioms ($p = .006$; $d = -0.81$) and the total metaphor interpretation scores ($p < .0005$; $d = -1.03$) were observed.

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics of healthy controls (HC) and participants with Parkinson's disease (PD) at the baseline and the two-year follow-up

Variable	Groups			Significant difference	
	HC	PD		HC vs PD baseline	PD baseline vs follow-up scores
	Baseline mean (\pm SD) (range)	Baseline mean (\pm SD) (range)	Follow-up mean (\pm SD) (range)		
Age (years)	65 (\pm 9) (50-84)	66 (\pm 7) (54-76)	68 (\pm 7) (56-78)	NS ^a	-
Education (years)	15 (\pm 2) (12-19)	13 (\pm 3) (6-16)	13 (\pm 3) (6-16)	NS	-
Gender (% men)	0,62	0,58	0,58	NS	-
Disease duration (years)	-	8 (\pm 2) (5-11)	10 (\pm 2) (7-13)	-	-
Levodopa (mg/day)	-	542 (\pm 226) (100-900)	671 (\pm 404) (100-1700)	-	NS
UPDRS-III ^b (total)	-	17.3 (\pm 8.6) (8-31)	20.3 (\pm 9.7) (9-39)	-	NS
Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-IA)	6.0 (\pm 4.3) (0-14)	8.6 (\pm 3.6) (3-14)	9.0 (\pm 4.1) (4-18)	NS	NS
Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)	26.7 (\pm 1.1) (24-28)	25.7 (\pm 1.8) (22-28)	24.8 (\pm 2.2) (20-28)	NS	NS

HC and PD groups were respectively composed of 13 participants (8 men: 5 women) and 12 patients (7 men: 5 women).
^aNS (non-significant: $p > .05$); ^bUnified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale (motor evaluation)

Executive Functions

For the digit span and trail-making tasks, the linear mixed models demonstrated no main group effect for the digit span forward, $F(2, 14.01) = 0.47$, $p = .64$, and digit span backward, $F(2, 17.78) = 0.10$, $p = .91$, tasks, and for the trail-making task (number of errors: $F(2, 33) = .95$, $p = .40$, and time, $F(2, 16.39) = 2.76$, $p = .09$). The PD participants performed at the same level as HC participants. No significant deterioration was observed in these executive tasks in the PD group (baseline vs. two-year follow-up).

For verbal fluency, the linear mixed models revealed a significant main group effect in the phonemic, $F(2, 34) = 4.26$, $p = .02$, and free fluency tasks, $F(2, 15.75) = 3.92$, $p = .04$. At follow-up, PD participants produced

significantly fewer words than HC in the phonemic ($p = .038$; $d = -0.99$) and free fluency task ($p = .04$; $d = -1.07$), but there were no significant difference between groups at baseline in fluency tasks. Finally, no difference was found in the number of words produced by the PD group at the baseline compared to the two-year follow-up in the fluency tasks.

Table 2 presents the comparison between the scores of the PD and HC groups (objective 1) and the scores of the PD group at the baseline and two-year follow-up (objective 2) in each task.

Table 2. Metaphor comprehension and executive abilities of healthy controls (HC) and participants with Parkinson's disease (PD) at the baseline and the two-year follow-up

Tests	Groups		Significant difference (p-value)	HC vs PD baseline	PD baseline vs follow-up	
	HC scores	PD scores				
	Baseline mean (\pm SD) (range)	Baseline mean (\pm SD) (range)				Follow-up mean (\pm SD) (range)
<i>Metaphor comprehension</i>						
Novel metaphors (/20)	19.5 \pm 0.8 (18-20)	18.0 \pm 2.0 (14-20)	16.1 \pm 1.9 (13-19)	.06	.001**	
Idioms (/20)	19.6 \pm 0.7 (18-20)	18.3 \pm 1.6 (16-20)	16.7 \pm 2.3 (13-20)	0.16	.006**	
Total (/40)	39.2 \pm 1.0 (37-40)	36.3 \pm 3.2 (30-40)	32.8 \pm 3.6 (28-39)	.04*	< .0005***	
<i>Executive functions</i>						
Digit Span Forward	6.7 \pm 0.9 (5-8)	6.4 \pm 0.5 (6-7)	6.4 \pm 0.9 (5-8)	.64	.64	
Digit Span Backward	4.8 \pm 0.9 (3-6)	4.7 \pm 1.1 (3-7)	4.6 \pm 1.2 (3-7)	.91	.91	
Trail-Making Test (number of errors)	0.3 \pm 0.6 (0-2)	0.8 \pm 1.1 (0-3)	0.8 \pm 1.2 (0-3)	.40	.40	
Trail-Making Test (time in sec)	35.9 \pm 33.1 (0-125)	63.4 \pm 45.9 (18-147)	73.7 \pm 44.9 (15-144)	.09	.09	
Free (number of words in 150 sec)	62.9 \pm 9.3 (42-80)	54.6 \pm 13.0 (38-76)	50.9 \pm 12.8 (29-81)	.24	.41	
Phonemic (number of words in 60 sec)	15.2 \pm 3.4 (11-21)	12.2 \pm 2.4 (9-17)	11.8 \pm 3.5 (8-20)	.07	1.0	
* $p < .05$; ** $p < .01$; *** $p < .0005$						

DISCUSSION

The goal of this study was to evaluate metaphor comprehension abilities and executive functions in PD patients and their evolution over two years. In accordance with the scientific literature, impairments in metaphor comprehension were found in the PD group at baseline compared to controls [6,16]. Moreover, a significant decrease in

performance was observed on metaphor comprehension after two years. Surprisingly, this deterioration was not concomitant with a decline in any of the executive functions tested in this study (i.e. working memory, mental flexibility, inhibition or planning).

Deterioration in Idiom and Novel Metaphor Comprehension

After two years, the comprehension of novel metaphors and idioms had similarly declined. This result lets supposed that the progression of PD possibly affects brain regions implicated in the comprehension of both novels metaphors and idioms. Indeed, their processing shared common activations in the left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) [17] and non-demented PD patients were shown to have gray matter loss in the left IFG [18], suggesting that this region could be impaired in PD. Consequent results have been found on adults with moderate to severe traumatic brain injury (TBI), showing an association between the under-activation in the patients left IFG and their difficulties to process novel metaphors [19]. Since the progression of PD affects the IFG (possibly implicated in both metaphors and idioms comprehension), the neuronal degeneration in the IFG may be associated with the deterioration in metaphors and idioms comprehension observed in this study.

Our result does not exclude the possibility that more than one neuronal region dysfunction and cognitive deficits not tested in this study, such as theory of mind or semantic activation impairment, may be associated with the deterioration observed in metaphor comprehension. Since the scores in novel metaphors and idioms comprehension were similar at baseline and follow-up, it is more likely that the deficits observed were related to a common neuronal dysfunction. Cognitive and neuroimaging studies are still needed to better understand the causes of the metaphor comprehension deterioration in PD.

Verbal fluency

Even if the deterioration was not significant, the performance in verbal fluency also seem to be slowly affected by the evolution of PD. Indeed, a significant difference with the HC score was observed only after two years in phonemic and free verbal fluency. This result is in accordance with the study of Muslimovic et al. (2009) showing that the increase in PD severity after three years is associated with a decline in verbal fluency performance [5]. Deficit in verbal fluency is often reported in PD and has been associated with frontal-executive impairments [20,21]. Interestingly, in this study, the deficit in verbal fluency did not seem to be concomitant with a decline in the executive functions tested. It is possible that the deterioration in each executive function tested was too small to be significant after two years, but that the accumulation of small executive impairments (including executive functions not specifically tested in this study such as psychomotor speed) lead to larger deficit in verbal fluency [22]. An investigation with a larger battery of executive tests (with more sensitive tasks) are needed to verify this hypothesis.

CONCLUSION

This preliminary study reported the presence of a deficit in metaphor comprehension in patients in the early-middle stages of PD which exacerbated over two years, but the origin of this deterioration still remains unknown and needs to be investigated. It will be desirable to replicate the results of this study with a larger number of participants (to

add younger and older patients, including patients living with PD for less than five years and more than 11 years) before generalizing them and to continue to do follow-ups after more than two years to know if the deterioration rate changes with time. Indeed, following the declining rate of metaphor comprehension may be relevant to more precisely monitor the cognitive deficits progression in PD.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the « Fonds Québécois de la recherche sur la société et la culture » (FQRSC / FRQSC) [grant number FS092780].

REFERENCES

1. [Litvan I, Goldman JG, Tröster AI, et al. Diagnostic criteria for mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease: Movement Disorder Society Task Force guidelines. *Mov Disord.* 2012;27:349–56.](#)
2. [Broeders M, Velseboer DC, de Bie R, et al. Cognitive Change in Newly-Diagnosed Patients with Parkinson's Disease: A 5-Year Follow-up Study. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2013;19:1–14.](#)
3. [Schrug A, Jahanshahi M, Quinn N. What contributes to quality of life in patients with Parkinson's disease? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2000;69:308–12.](#)
4. [Muslimovic D, Schmand B, Speelman JD, et al. Course of cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease: A meta-analysis. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2007;13:920–32.](#)
5. [Muslimovic D, Post B, Speelman JD, et al. Cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease: a prospective longitudinal study. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2009;15:426–37. doi:10.1017/S1355617709090614](#)
6. [Monetta L, Pell MD. Effects of verbal working memory deficits on metaphor comprehension in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain Lang* 2007;101:80–9.](#)
7. [Larouche E, Tremblay MP, Potvin O, et al. Normative Data for the Montreal Cognitive Assessment in Middle-Aged and Elderly Quebec-French People. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2016;31:819–26.](#)
8. [O'Connell ME, Tuokko H. Age corrections and dementia classification accuracy. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2010;25:126–38.](#)
9. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Arlington, VA: 2013.
10. Joannette Y, Ska B, Côté H. Protocole Montréal d'Évaluation de la Communication (MEC). Ortho Édit. Isbergues (France): 2004.
11. [Lee C, Grossman M, Morris J, et al. Attentional resource and processing speed limitations during sentence processing in Parkinson's disease. *Brain Lang* 2003;85:347–56.](#)
12. Tulskey D, Zhu J, Ledbetter M. WAIS-III/WMS-III Technical Manual. Harcourt. San Antonio, TX: 1997.
13. D'Elia L, Satz P, Uchiyama C, et al. Color trails test: Professional manual. Psychologi. Odessa (FL): 1996.
14. Tröster AI. Parkinson's disease and language. In: Brown K (Editor-in-C, ed. *Encyclopedia of Language & Linguistics* (Second Edition). Oxford: 2006. 185–8.
15. [Nasreddine Z, Phillips N, Bédirian V, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: A Brief Screening Tool For Mild Cognitive Impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2005;53:695–9.](#)

16. [Tremblay C, Macoir J, Langlois M, et al. The role of polysemy on metaphor comprehension processing: the example of Parkinson's disease. J Neurolinguistics 2014;30:1-13.](#)
17. [Bohrn IC, Altmann U, Jacobs AM. Looking at the brains behind figurative language - A quantitative meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies on metaphor, idiom, and irony processing. Neuropsychologia 2012;50:2669-83.](#)
18. [Nagano-Saito A, Washimi Y, Arahata Y, et al. Cerebral atrophy and its relation to cognitive impairment in Parkinson disease. Neurology 2005;64:224-9. doi:10.1212/01.WNL.0000149510.41793.50](#)
19. [Yang FG, Fuller J, Khodaparast N, et al. Figurative language processing after traumatic brain injury in adults: a preliminary study. Neuropsychologia 2010;48:1923-9.](#)
20. [Henry JD, Crawford JR. Verbal fluency deficits in Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2004;10:608-22.](#)
21. [Auclair-Ouellet N, Lieberman P, Monchi O. Contribution of language studies to the understanding of cognitive impairment and its progression over time in Parkinson's disease. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2017 ; 80 :657-672](#)
22. [Koerts J, Meijer HA, Colman KS, et al. What is measured with verbal fluency tests in Parkinson's disease patients at different stages of the disease? J Neural Transm 2013;120:403-11.](#)